



The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) has been identifying and mapping the tsunami inundation hazard along the Oregon coast since 1994. In Oregon, DOGAMI manages the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program, which has been administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) since 1995. DOGAMI's work is designed to help cities, counties, and other sites in coastal areas reduce the potential for disastrous tsunami-related consequences by understanding and mitigating this geologic hazard. Using federal funding awarded by NOAA, DOGAMI has developed a new generation of tsunami inundation maps to help residents and visitors along the entire Oregon coast prepare for the next Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) earthquake and tsunami, as well as for far-travelled, or "distant" tsunamis.

The 'Ring of Fire' also called the Circum-Pacific belt, is the zone of earthquake activity surrounding the Pacific Ocean. It is an arc stretching from New Zealand, along the eastern edge of Asia, north across the Aleutian Islands of Alaska, and south along the coast of North and South America (Figure 1). The Ring of Fire is located at the borders of the Pacific Plate and other major tectonic plates. The Pacific Plate is colliding with and sliding underneath other plates creating subduction zones that eventually release energy in the form of an earthquake rupture. This rupture causes a vertical displacement of water that creates a tsunami. When these events occur around the Ring of Fire but not directly off the Oregon coast, they take more time to travel the Pacific Ocean and arrive onshore in Oregon (Figure 2). Distant earthquake/tsunami events have affected the Oregon coast: for example, offshore Alaska in 1964 and offshore Japan in March 2011.

Historically, about 28 distant tsunamis have been documented by Oregon tide gauges since 1854. The most severe was generated by the 1964 M9.2 Prince William Sound earthquake in Alaska. Oregon was hit hard by the tsunami, which killed four people and caused an estimated 750,000 to 1 million dollars in damage to bridges, houses, cars, boats, and sea walls. The greatest tsunami damage in Oregon did not occur along the ocean front as one might expect, but in the estuary channels located further inland. Of the communities affected, Seaside was inundated by a 10 foot tsunami wave and was the hardest hit. Tsunami wave heights reached 10 to 11.5 feet in the Nehalem River, 10 to 11.5 feet at Depoe Bay, 11.5 feet at Newport, 10 to 11 feet at Florence, 11 feet at Reedsport, 11 feet at Brookings, and 14 feet at Coos Bay (Witter and others, 2011).

Alaska-Aleutian Model Specifications: DOGAMI model two distant tsunamigenic and tsunami scenarios involving M9.2 earthquakes originating near the Gulf of Alaska. The first scenario attempts to replicate the 1964 Prince William Sound event, and the second scenario represents a hypothetical maximum event. This maximum event is the same model used by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in their 2006 tsunami hazard assessment of Seaside (TPSW, 2006). This model uses extreme fault model parameters that result in maximum seafloor uplift nearly twice as large as in the 1964 earthquake. The selected source for the Aleutian chain of islands also has higher energy release directed toward Oregon coast than other Aleutian source locations. For these reasons the hypothetical "Alaska Maximum" scenario is selected as the worst case distant tsunami scenario for Oregon. Detailed information on fault geometries, subsidence, computer models, and the methodology used to create the tsunami scenarios presented on this map can be found in DOGAMI Special Paper 43 (Witter and others, 2011).

Figure 1: The movement of active earth plates that rings mud volcanoes including the Ophiolite and earthquakes caused by the movement of plates. One type of subduction — oceanic plates, such as the Pacific, rock beneath the continental rock beneath thicker plates. Earthquakes that occur during subduction can

Figure 2: This figure shows the actual initial tsunami wave heights, around the time of the 1964 Prince of Wales earthquake. The earthquake and tsunami caused 125 deaths in property loss, deaths in Alaska. The tsunami devastated along the Gulf of Mexico, damage in British Columbia, and along the west coast of the United States, and was measured by gauges in Cuba and

	Entire Map Area	Reedport	Unincorporated Areas
Total Buildings	3,045	2,598	447
Buildings within Tsunami Zones*			
Alaska MS-2 (1964)		32	9
Alaska Maximum		240	138
Percent of Buildings within Tsunami Zones			
Alaska MS-2 (1964)		1.1%	2.0%
Alaska Maximum		7.9%	30.9%

*Building counts shown are based on polygon centroids and are cumulative within the map area.




Figure 3: The table and chart show the number of buildings inundated for the Alaska M9.2 (1964) and the Alaska Maximum tsunami scenarios for cities and unincorporated portions of the map.


This tsunami inundation map displays the output of computer models representing the two selected tsunamii scenarios: Alaska M9.2 (1964) and the Alaska Maximum. All tsunami simulations were run assuming that prevailing tide was static (no flow) and equal to Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) tide; MHHW is defined as the average height of the higher high tides observed over an 18-year period at the Yakutina Bay (Central Coast) model tide gauge. The map legend depicts the respective amounts of deformation and the earthquake magnitude for these two scenarios. Figure 3 shows the cumulative number of buildings inundated within the map area.

The computer simulation model output is provided to DCGAMI as millions of points with values that indicate whether the location of each point is wet or dry. These points are converted to wet and dry contour lines that form the extent of inundation. The transition area between the wet and dry contour lines is termed the Wet/Dry Zone, which equates to the amount of error in the model when determining the maximum inundation for each scenario. Only the Alaska Maximum Wet/Dry Zone is shown on this map.

This map also shows the regulatory tsunami inundation line (Oregon Revised Statutes 455.446 and 455.447), commonly known as the Senate Bill 379 line. Senate Bill 379 (1995) instructed DOGAMI to establish the area of expected tsunami inundation based on scientific evidence and tsunami modeling in order to prohibit the construction of new essential and special occupancy structures in this tsunami inundation zone (Priest, 1995).

Time Series Graphs and Wave Elevation Profiles: In addition to the tsunami scenarios, the computer model produces time series data for "gauge" locations in the area. These points are simulated gauge stations that record the time, in seconds, of the tsunami wave arrival and the wave height observed. It is especially noteworthy that the greatest wave height and velocity observed are not necessarily associated with the first tsunami wave to arrive onshore. Therefore evacuees should not assume that the tsunami event is over until the proper authorities have sounded the all clear at the end of the evacuation. Figure 4 depicts the tsunami waves as they arrive at a simulated gauge station. Figure 5 depicts the overall wave height and inundation extent for the two scenarios at the profile locations shown on this map.

2013



Change in water level for two tsunami scenarios at the simulated gauge station shown on the map

Winchester Bay (Station C21)

Time since Earthquake (hours)	Alaska M9.3 (1964) Elevation (feet NAVD83)	Alaska Maximum Elevation (feet NAVD83)
0.0	8.0	8.0
1.0	8.0	8.0
2.0	8.0	8.0
3.0	8.0	8.0
4.0	18.0	18.0
5.0	10.0	10.0
6.0	18.0	18.0
7.0	10.0	10.0
8.0	10.0	10.0

Figure 4: This chart depicts the tsunami waves as they arrive at the selected reference point (simulated gauge station). It shows the change in wave heights for the two Alaska tsunami scenarios over an 8-hour period. Wave heights vary through time, and the first wave will not necessarily be the largest as waves interfere and reflect off local topography and bathymetry. Any absence of data indicates periods for which tsunami inundation has not yet reached or has receded from the station location and dry land is exposed.

Figure 5: These profiles depict the expected maximum tsunami wave elevation for the two Alaska tsunami scenarios along lines A-A' and B-B'. The tsunami scenarios are modeled to occur at a static (no flow) tide and equal to the Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) high tide



Earthquake Size	Slip / Deformation	Earthquake Magnitude
Alaska M9.2 (1964)	Vertical seafloor deformation estimate	~9.2
Alaska Maximum	Uniform slip on 12 subfaults with each assigned values ranging from 45 to 90 feet	~9.2
Alaska Maximum Wet/Dry Zone		
Urban Growth Boundary	Fire Station	
Building Footprint	Police Station	
Simulated Gauge Station	School	
Profile Location	Hospital / Urgent Care C	
Senate Bill 379 Line	U.S. Highway	
State Park	State Highway	
Elevation Control (25 ft intervals up to 200 ft)	Improved Road	

Map of the Douglas area showing sampling locations 01 through 09. The map includes Lake Douglas, the Douglas River, and the town of Douglas. Sampling locations are marked with numbered boxes: 01, 02, 03, 04 (highlighted), 05, 06, 07, 08, and 09. A legend at the bottom identifies the locations: 01 Siltoos Lake, 02 Tahkenitti Lake, 03 Gardiner, 04 Reelfoot, 05 East Gardiner, 06 Umpqua River West, 07 Sulphur Springs, 08 Umpqua River East, and 09 Clear Lake. An inset map shows the location of the study area within the state of Oregon.

This map is based on hydrodynamic tsunami modeling by Joseph Zhang, Oregon Health and Science University. The map data were collected from the following sources: T. English and George R. Priest, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), Portland, Oregon; Hydrology data, contours, critical facilities, and building footprints were created by DOGAMI. Senate Bill 3719 flood inundation data were created by DOGAMI. The 1991 data were redigitized by Rachel L. Smith and Sean G. Pickner, DOGAMI, in 2011 (GIS file set, in press, 2012). Urban growth boundaries (2011) were provided by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). Transportation data (2012) provided by Douglas Coates were edited by DOGAMI to improve the spatial accuracy of the features or to add newly constructed roads not present in the original data layer. Lidar data are from DOGAMI Lidar Data Quadrangles (LDQ-2009-432124-F1-Readpoint and LDQ-2009-432124-F1-Readpoint).

Coordinate System: Oregon Statewide Lambert
Conformal Conic, Unit: International Feet, Horizontal
Datum: NAD 1983 HARN, Vertical Datum: NAVD 1988
Graticule shown with geographic coordinates
(latitude/longitude).

Software: Esri ArcGIS® 10.1, Microsoft® Excel®, and
Adobe® Illustrator®

Funding: This map was funded under award #NA09NMV54670014 by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) through the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program.

Map Data Creation/Development:
Tsunami Inundation Scenarios: George R. Priest, Laura Stimpert, Daniel E. Coe, Paul A. Ferro, Sean G. Pickner, Rachel L. Smith
Basemap Data: Katelyn L.B. Hughes, Sean G. Pickner

Map Production:
Cartography: Kalena L.B. Hughes, Sean G. Pickner,
Taylor E. Wile
Text: Don W.T. Lewis, Rachel L. Smith
Editing: Don W.T. Lewis, Rachel L. Smith
Publication: Deborah A. Schueller
Map Date: 02/11/2013

Tsunami Inundation Map Doug-04

Tsunami Inundation Maps for Reedsport,
Douglas County, Oregon

Plate 2



For copies of this publication contact
Nature of the Northwest Information Center
800 NE Oregon Street, #28, Ste. 965
Portland, Oregon 97232
telephone (503) 673-2331