



The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) has been identifying and mapping the tsunami inundation hazard along the Oregon coast since 1994. In Oregon, DOGAMI manages the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program, which has been administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) since 1995. DOGAMI's work is designed to help cities, counties, and other sites in coastal areas reduce the potential for disastrous tsunami-related consequences by understanding and mitigating this geologic hazard. Using federal funding awarded by NOAA, DOGAMI has developed a new generation of tsunami inundation maps to help residents and visitors along the entire Oregon coast prepare for the next Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) earthquake and tsunami, as well as for far-travelled, or "distant" tsunamis.

The 'Ring of Fire' is also called the Circum-Pacific Belt, is the zone of earthquake activity surrounding the Pacific Ocean. It is an arc stretching from New Zealand, along the eastern edge of Asia, north across the Aleutian Islands of Alaska, and south along the coast of North and South America (Figure 1). The Ring of Fire is located at the borders of the Pacific Plate and other major tectonic plates. The Pacific Plate is colliding with and sliding underneath other plates creating subduction zones that eventually release energy in the form of an earthquake rupture. This rupture causes a vertical displacement of water that creates a tsunami. When these events occur around the Ring of Fire but not directly off the Oregon coast, they take more time to travel the Pacific Ocean and arrive onshore in Oregon (Figure 2). Distant earthquake/tsunami events have affected the Oregon coast: for example, offshore Alaska in 1964 and offshore Japan in March 2011.

Historically, about 28 distant tsunamis have been documented by Oregon tide gauges since 1854. The most severe was generated by the 1964 M9.2 Prince William Sound earthquake in Alaska. Oregon was hit hard by the tsunami, which killed four people and caused an estimated 750,000 to 1 million dollars in damage to bridges, houses, cars, boats, and sea walls. The greatest tsunami damage in Oregon did not occur along the ocean front as one might expect, but in the estuary channels located further inland. Of the communities affected, Seaside was inundated by a 10 foot tsunami wave and was the hardest hit. Tsunami wave heights reached 10 to 11.5 feet in the Nehalem River, 10 to 11.5 feet at Depoe Bay, 11.5 feet at Newport, 10 to 11 feet at Florence, 11 feet at Reedsport, 11 feet at Brookings, and 14 feet at Coos Bay (Witter and others, 2011).

Alaska-Aleutian Model Specifications: DOGAMI model two distant tsunamigenic and tsunami scenarios involving M9.2 earthquakes originating near the Gulf of Alaska. The first scenario attempts to replicate the 1964 Prince William Sound event, and the second scenario represents a hypothetical maximum event. This maximum event is the same model used by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in their 2006 tsunami hazard assessment of Seaside (TPSW, 2006). This model uses extreme fault model parameters that result in maximum seafloor uplift nearly twice as large as in the 1964 earthquake. The selected source for the Aleutian chain of islands also has higher energy release directed toward the Oregon coast than other Aleutian source locations. For these reasons the hypothetical "Alaska Maximum" scenario is selected as the worst case distant tsunami scenario for Oregon. Detailed information on fault geometries, subsidence, computer models, and the methodology used to create the tsunami scenarios presented on this map can be found in DOGAMI Special Paper 43 (Witter and others, 2011).

Figure 2. The "Ring of Fire" is a zone of active earthquakes and volcanoes that rings much of the Pacific Ocean, including the Oregon coast. Volcanoes and earthquakes on this ring are caused by the movements of tectonic plates. One type of movement is called subduction — when thin, oceanic plates, such as those that compose the rock beneath the Pacific Ocean, sink beneath thicker, lighter plates that make up continental plates. Earthquakes that occur as a result of subduction can trigger tsunamis.

Figure 4. This image depicts the actual initial tsunami arrival times, in hours, around the Pacific Rim from the 1964 Prince William Sound earthquake. This magnitude 9.2 earthquake and resulting tsunami caused 125 deaths and \$311 million in property loss, \$84 million and 106 deaths in Alaska (NGDC/WDC). The tsunami devastated many towns along the Gulf of Alaska, left serious damage in British Columbia, Hawaii, and along the west coast of the United States, and was recorded on tide gauges in Cuba and Puerto Rico.

	Entire Map Area	City of Warrenton	Unincorporated Areas
Total Buildings	2,376	636	1,740
Buildings within Tsunami Zones*			
Alaska M9-2 (1964)	414	34	380
Alaska Maximum	420	34	386
Percent of Buildings within Tsunami Zones			
Alaska M9-2 (1964)	17.4%	5.3%	21.8%
Alaska Maximum	17.7%	5.3%	22.2%

*Building counts shown are based on national centroid and are cumulative within the map area

*Building counts shown are based on polygon centroids and are cumulative within the map area.




Figure 3: The table and chart show the number of buildings inundated for the Alaska M9.2 (1964) and the Alaska Maximum tsunami scenarios for cities and unincorporated portions of the map.


This tsunami inundation map displays the output of computer models representing the two selected tsunami scenarios: Alaska M9.2 (1964) and the Alaska Maximum. All tsunami simulations were run assuming that prevailing tide was static (no flow) and equal to Mean Higher High Water (MHHW). MHHW is defined as the average height of the higher high tides observed over an 18-year period at the Adirada tide gauge (NOAA Station 9343040). The map legend depicts the respective amounts of deformation and the earthquake magnitude for the two scenarios. Figure 3 shows the cumulative number of buildings inundated within the map area.

The computer simulation model used to provide to DODGM are millions of points with values that indicate whether the location of each point is wet or dry. These points are converted to wet and dry contour lines that form the extent of inundation. The transition areas between the wet and dry contour lines is termed the Wet/Dry Zone, which equates to the maximum depth of inundation. The maximum depth of inundation for each scenario. Only the Alaska Maximum Wet/Dry Zone is shown on this map.

This map also shows the regulatory tsunami inundation line (Oregon Revised Statutes 455.446 and 455.447), commonly known as the Senate Bill 379 line. Senate Bill 379 (1995) instructed DOGAMI to establish the area of expected tsunami inundation based on scientific evidence and tsunami modeling in order to prohibit the construction of new essential and special occupancy structures in this tsunami inundation zone (Priest, 1995).

Time Series Graphs and Wave Elevation Profiles: In addition to the tsunami scenarios, the computer model produces time series data for "gauge" locations in the area. These points are simulated gauge stations that record the time, in seconds, of the tsunami wave arrival and the wave height observed. It is especially noteworthy that the greatest wave height and velocity observed are not necessarily associated with the first tsunami wave to arrive onshore. Therefore evacuees should not assume that the tsunami event is over until the proper authorities have sounded the all-clear at the end of the evacuation. Figure 4 depicts the tsunami waves as they arrive at a simulated gauge station. Figure 5 depicts the overall wave height and inundation extent for the two scenarios at the profile locations shown on this map.

2013



A CAMP RILEA

A'

Tsunami Scenarios

- Alaska M8.2 (1964)
- Alaska Maximum


B LEWIS AND CLARK RIVER

B'


Elevation, feet (NAD83)

Distance along Profile, miles

Figure 5: These profiles depict the expected maximum tsunami wave elevation for the two Alaska tsunami scenarios along lines A-A' and B-B'. The tsunami scenarios are modeled to occur at a static (no flow) tide and equal to the Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) high tide



Earthquake Size	Slip / Deformation	Maximum Magnitude
Alaska M9.2 (1964)	Vertical seafloor deformation estimate.	9.2
Alaska Maximum	Uniform slip on 12 subfaults with each assigned values ranging from 49 to 98 feet.	9.0
Alaska Maximum West/Deep Zone		8.5



Map of Clatsop County, Oregon, showing 10 numbered locations for water sampling. The map includes the Columbia River to the north, Clatsop County to the east, and Tillamook County to the south. An inset map shows the location of Clatsop County within the state of Oregon. A legend at the bottom lists the names for each numbered location.

01	02	04	05
03	06		
07			
08			
09			
10			

Legend:

01	Clatsop Spit	06	Young River South
02	Warrenton North	07	Del Rey Beach
03	Warrenton South - Rilea	08	Edwards - Seaside
04	Astoria	09	Cannon Beach
05	Young River North	10	Arth Cove, Cannon Beach

This paper is based on hydrodynamic: Science modeling (Joseph Chang, Oregon Health and Science University) and the Oregon Urban Footprints (OUF) were created by T. English and George R. Priest, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), Portland, Oregon.

Hydrology data, contours, critical facilities, and building footprints were created by DOGAMI. Senate Bill 379 line data were redigested by Rachel L. Smith and Sean S. Pickner, DOGAMI, in 2011 (GIS file in use, 2012).

Urban growth boundaries (2011) were provided by the Oregon Department of Land Use and Conservation and Department (DLCD).

Transportation data (2011) provided by Clatsop County. The data were edited by DOGAMI to improve the spatial accuracy of the features or to add newly constructed roads not present in the original data layer.

Lidar data are from Oregon Lidar Data Quadangles (LDR 2011-46123-27 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-28, LDR 2011-46123-29 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-30, LDR 2011-46123-31 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-32, LDR 2011-46123-33 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-34, LDR 2011-46123-35 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-36, LDR 2011-46123-37 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-38, LDR 2011-46123-39 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-40, LDR 2011-46123-41 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-42, LDR 2011-46123-43 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-44, LDR 2011-46123-45 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-46, LDR 2011-46123-47 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-48, LDR 2011-46123-49 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-50, LDR 2011-46123-51 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-52, LDR 2011-46123-53 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-54, LDR 2011-46123-55 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-56, LDR 2011-46123-57 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-58, LDR 2011-46123-59 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-60, LDR 2011-46123-61 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-62, LDR 2011-46123-63 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-64, LDR 2011-46123-65 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-66, LDR 2011-46123-67 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-68, LDR 2011-46123-69 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-70, LDR 2011-46123-71 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-72, LDR 2011-46123-73 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-74, LDR 2011-46123-75 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-76, LDR 2011-46123-77 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-78, LDR 2011-46123-79 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-80, LDR 2011-46123-81 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-82, LDR 2011-46123-83 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-84, LDR 2011-46123-85 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-86, LDR 2011-46123-87 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-88, LDR 2011-46123-89 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-90, LDR 2011-46123-91 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-92, LDR 2011-46123-93 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-94, LDR 2011-46123-95 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-96, LDR 2011-46123-97 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-98, LDR 2011-46123-99 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-100, LDR 2011-46123-101 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-102, LDR 2011-46123-103 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-104, LDR 2011-46123-105 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-106, LDR 2011-46123-107 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-108, LDR 2011-46123-109 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-110, LDR 2011-46123-111 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-112, LDR 2011-46123-113 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-114, LDR 2011-46123-115 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-116, LDR 2011-46123-117 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-118, LDR 2011-46123-119 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-120, LDR 2011-46123-121 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-122, LDR 2011-46123-123 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-124, LDR 2011-46123-125 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-126, LDR 2011-46123-127 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-128, LDR 2011-46123-129 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-130, LDR 2011-46123-131 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-132, LDR 2011-46123-133 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-134, LDR 2011-46123-135 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-136, LDR 2011-46123-137 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-138, LDR 2011-46123-139 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-140, LDR 2011-46123-141 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-142, LDR 2011-46123-143 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-144, LDR 2011-46123-145 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-146, LDR 2011-46123-147 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-148, LDR 2011-46123-149 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-150, LDR 2011-46123-151 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-152, LDR 2011-46123-153 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-154, LDR 2011-46123-155 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-156, LDR 2011-46123-157 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-158, LDR 2011-46123-159 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-160, LDR 2011-46123-161 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-162, LDR 2011-46123-163 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-164, LDR 2011-46123-165 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-166, LDR 2011-46123-167 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-168, LDR 2011-46123-169 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-170, LDR 2011-46123-171 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-172, LDR 2011-46123-173 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-174, LDR 2011-46123-175 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-176, LDR 2011-46123-177 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-178, LDR 2011-46123-179 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-180, LDR 2011-46123-181 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-182, LDR 2011-46123-183 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-184, LDR 2011-46123-185 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-186, LDR 2011-46123-187 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-188, LDR 2011-46123-189 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-190, LDR 2011-46123-191 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-192, LDR 2011-46123-193 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-194, LDR 2011-46123-195 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-196, LDR 2011-46123-197 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-198, LDR 2011-46123-199 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-200, LDR 2011-46123-201 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-202, LDR 2011-46123-203 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-204, LDR 2011-46123-205 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-206, LDR 2011-46123-207 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-208, LDR 2011-46123-209 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-210, LDR 2011-46123-211 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-212, LDR 2011-46123-213 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-214, LDR 2011-46123-215 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-216, LDR 2011-46123-217 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-218, LDR 2011-46123-219 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-220, LDR 2011-46123-221 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-222, LDR 2011-46123-223 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-224, LDR 2011-46123-225 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-226, LDR 2011-46123-227 from DOGAMI Lidar 2011-46123-228, LDR 201

Coordinate System: Oregon Statewide Lambert
Conformal Conic, Unit: International Feet, Horizontal
Datum: NAD 1983 HARN, Vertical Datum: NAWD 1988.
Graticule shown with geographic coordinates
(latitude/longitude).

Software: Esri ArcGIS® 10.1, Microsoft® Excel®, and
Adobe® Illustrator®

Funding: This map was funded under award #NA09HW54670014 by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) through the National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program.

Map Data Creation/Development:
Tsunami Inundation Scenarios: George R. Priest, Laura L. Stimpert, Daniel E. Coe, Paul A. Ferro, Sean G. Pickner, Rachel L. Smith
BaseMap Data: Kaleena L.B. Hughes, Sean G. Pickner

Map Production:
Cartography: Kaleena L.B. Hughes, Sean G. Pickner,
Taylor E. Wille
Text: Don W.T. Lewis, Rachel L. Smith
Editing: Don W.T. Lewis, Rachel L. Smith
Publication: Deborah A. Schueller
Map Date: 05/10/2013

Tsunami Inundation Map Clat-03
Tsunami Inundation Maps for Warrenton South - Rilea,
Clatsop County, Oregon
Plate 2

